

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/661,404	09/11/2003	Robert Silva	IGT1P060X2/P-568-CIP2	6650		
79646 Weaver Austin	7590 12/11/200 n Villeneuve & Sampso	EXAM	EXAMINER			
Atm: IGT P.O. Box 70250 Oakland, CA 94612-0250			LEIVA, I	LEIVA, FRANK M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		3714				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			12/11/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/661,404	SILVA ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	FRANK M. LEIVA	3714					

	TTO WATER IN LETUTE	0714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 04 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
. Me The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
periods:			9				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date							
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire it 							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.179(b) scalculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, i	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause				
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further con 	nsideration and/or search (see NO						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: The amendment to claim 1 simply joins the							
further assist prosecution toward and allowance. F previous office action. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.		<u>n has already been es</u>	tablished on the				
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		in plant varion and it	102 021).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	M will not be entered or b) M will	I he entered and an e	volenation of				
7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-16,41 and 42</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2, 17-40 and 43-4	<u>8</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filing a Nic	ation of Annual will not	ha antored				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and							
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
	/C# E /						
	/Scott E. Jones/ Primary Examiner, Art I.I	Init 3714					

Application No.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments are not persuasive. The applicant does not take in consideration the broad interpretation of paragraphs [0119] and [0120] of Cole where the disclose invention relates to the utilization of bill readers (peripherals) by two separate gaming machines in which the communications can be wired or wireless. Cole is explicit in the equal substitution of one method by the other as similar methods of communication, and that the repirpherals have a master controller managing the devices communication links which is explicitly described as "configured to achieve the benefits described herein." The fact that Cole describes his invention to be used with two machines is in fact not part of the teachings used for the rejection, just another embodiment. Cole describes using wired or wireless communications with the peripherals and is the lesson used by the examiner. The examiner apologizes for the typographical error in the listing of Lazzarotto, and the applicant is correct to assume that the proper number is US 6,782,245. Regretably no further arguments against Lazzarotto are presented, and the arguments directed toward claims 3-14 and 41 are dependent of claim 1, which if bound to have been properly rejected. The amendment of claim 1 simply joins claim 2 to claim 1, not adding any new limitations. Thus, the examiner finds the rejections proper, the arguments non-persuasive, and the amendment insufficient to place the apolication in condition of allowance.